



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/940,663		08/29/2001	Akiko Sato	NITT.0031 4771		
38327	7590	03/31/2005		EXAMINER		
REED SM			TANG, KUO LIANG J			
FALLS CH		RK DRIVE, SUITE 1 A 22042	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,				2191		
				DATE MAILED: 03/31/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/940,663	SATO ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Kuo-Liang J Tang	2191		

	Kuo-Liang J Tang	2191	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION	ON IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWA	ANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amend condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 	a Notice of Appeal. To avoid aban ment, affidavit, or other evidence, al fee) in compliance with 37 CFR e reply must be filed within one of	donment of this applic which places the appl 41.31; or (3) a Reque	cation in st for Continued
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A		in the final rejection, wh	ichever is later In
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 76	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. tension and the corresponding amount thortened statutory period for reply origonal than three months after the mailing data.	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appe			
was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 C has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time per AMENDMENTS	FR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o od set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).	f the appeal. Since a	Notice of Appeal
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo 		TE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		in part as per amen	ements to the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	ided below or appended.	ill be entered and an e	specification
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>13-21</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attacl	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>			nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	No(s)	

Application/Control Number: 09/940,663

Art Unit: 2191

Advisory Action

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Applicants' arguments with respect to claims 13-21 have been considered but they are not persuasive.

In the Response and Amendment, the applicants argue that:

- (A) Hohle does not disclose, teach or suggest a message ID that is used when exchanging the application loading permission and for claim 13 and 19, the data of the smart card is stored using the message ID as a key and search the result using the message ID as a key (Claim 13) (see page 19, lines 4-5).
- (B) Hohle shows an example of an issuer's construction and fails to show those of an issuer and a service provider (Claim 13) (see page 19, lines 24-25).
- (C) Hohle does not disclose "the data of the smart card issuance/management system and the smart card service providing/managing system is stored using the message ID as a key" and "the smart card service providing/managing system searches an examination result at the time of initial loading application using the message ID as the key" (Claims 13 and 19) (see page 19, lines 28-35).
- (D) Hohle does not disclose "data of the smart card issuance/management system and the smart card service providing/managing system is stored using the message ID as a key (e.g. see col. 3:61-62, initialization data (e.g., account numbers))" (Claim 16) (see page 19, lines 28-35).

Application/Control Number: 09/940,663

Page 3

Art Unit: 2191

Examiner's response:

- (A) The examiner disagrees with Applicants' assertion that Hohle does not disclose, teach or suggest a message ID. In fact, Hohle does teaches initialization data (e.g. account number, serial number, default preferences, and the like) (emphasis added)(E.g. see col. 3:57-67) which is the same as the message ID in this application. These data can be used to stored and searched as a key. Further, Hohle discloses "a message ID that is used when exchanging the application loading permission" (E.g. see 3:57-67, which states "... CODUS 106 interfaces with personalization system 140 in order to facilitate reissuance of the card by providing updated data in the event a card is destroyed, lost, or stolen. Personalization system 140 is described in detail below in conjunction with FIG. 9.". The examiner interprets that if the card is not initialized properly, the applications can not be loaded properly either.
- (B) The examiner disagrees with Applicants' assertion that Hohle shows an example of an issuer's construction and fails to show those of an issuer and a service provider. In fact, Hohle teaches a service provider (e.g. see col. 9:58 to col. 10:9).
- (C) The examiner disagrees with Applicants' assertion. In fact, Hohle teaches "the data of the smart card issuance/management system and the smart card service providing/managing system is stored using the message ID as a key (e.g. see col. 3:61-62, initialization data (e.g., account numbers))" and "the smart card service providing/managing system searches an examination result at the time of initial loading application using the message ID as the key (e.g. see col. 9:57-65 and see FIG. 9 and associated text, i.e. see col. 3:57-67)".

Art Unit: 2191

(D) The examiner disagrees with Applicants' assertion. In fact, Hohle teaches "data of the smart card issuance/management system and the smart card service providing/managing system is stored using the message ID as a key (e.g. see col. 3:61-62, initialization data (e.g., account numbers))".

TUAN DAM

TUAN DE EXAMINEN